UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/535,066 | 03/15/2006 | Erling Rytter | 1101.146WOUS | 1843 | |
| 24113 7590 05/03/2010 PATTERSON THUENTE CHRISTENSEN PEDERSEN, P.A. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 4800 IDS CEN | ΓER | BERNS, DANIEL J | | | |
| 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1793 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/03/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/535,066 | RYTTER ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | DAMEL BERNS | 1793 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | r). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | thin the time period set forth in 37 | CFR 41.37(a). | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | ** | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for |
| (d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 8-18. | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>19-57</u> . | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | /Stuart Hendrickson/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1793 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections within the 2/26/10 Final Rejection are maintained for reasons of record. Combinability of primary references of record with Singleton is reasserted. Claim 18's changed dependency is noted. Applicant's claim amendments and substantial arguments would in kind require substantial analysis and attention, which is improper after Final Rejection- applicant has not provided good and sufficient reasons as to why the proposed After-Final Amendments to the claims were not made previously. /END/